



**AGENDA  
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING  
THURSDAY, JULY 14, 2022 AT 6:00 PM  
PLANNING CHAMBERS, CITY HALL  
405 N. PASEO DE OÑATE ESPAÑOLA, N.M.**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

**([20:20])**

Time: 6:03pm

**II. ROLL CALL/ DETERMINATION OF QUORUM**

Adrianna Ortiz

Ross Chavez

J.D. Martinez

J.R. Trujillo - *absent*

Joe Salazar

Charlene Guinn

Roland Borrego - *absent*

**III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Commissioner Charlene Guinn led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Vice Chair Ortiz recognized the high school interns working with the Planning and Zoning Department (Brandy Gurule, Yunica Main, and Rhiannon Romero {sp?}).

**IV. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

**([22:43 - 23:56])**

Vice Chair Adriana Ortiz noted that there was an adjustment to something that was on their packet which was that the Minutes would be pushed to the next meeting.

Motion: Commissioner Charlene Guinn    Second: Commissioner Joe Salazar    Passed: 5-0

Adriana Ortiz - *in favor*

Ross Chavez - *in favor*

J.D. Martinez - *in favor*

Joe Salazar - *in favor*

Charlene Guinn - *in favor*

**V. PUBLIC COMMENTS/BUSINESS FROM VISITORS**

**([24:05])**

There were no Public Comments/Business from Visitors.

**VI. ITEMS TABLED FROM PRIOR MEETING**

**([24:45])**

There were no Items Tabled from Prior Meetings.

**VII. ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION/NEW BUSINESS**

**([24:58 - 01:45])**

Vice Chair Ortiz explained the process of the Public Hearing before opening the Public Hearing.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- 1. 2022-12 Special Use Permit:** Marcie Davie of Creative Kids Childcare, Applicant, requests renewal of special use permit to operate an existing Child Care Center currently located at 241 N Paseo de Oñate to property currently addressed as 1318 S Riverside Dr, (UPC 1-048-119-190-305). The subject property is located in the B-2 General Commercial District.

### **Open: 6:07pm**

Vice Chair Ortiz confirmed that there were no conflicts of interest or ex parte communications related to this case. She identified and swore in the parties who would give testimony for the Public Hearing.

Director Muhammad Hussain presented the Staff Report. He stated that to fulfill the notice requirements, the publication for the Public Hearing had been published in the Rio Grande Sun on June 30th and that it had also been posted on the property 15 days prior and that ten property owners located within 200 feet on the Childcare Center had also been notified of the Public Hearing. He provided some statistics from the 2018 American Community Survey, and commented on the necessity of the daycare. He stated that the previous July, they had applied to move to the North Riverside Drive location. He stated that it consisted of three classrooms, would serve 25-50 kids, and that the location lay along the Riverside District Commercial Avenue. For the record, he stated that he had also included the previous year's decision so they could have the perspective as background of what had been approved the previous year so they could analyze the case effectively and efficiently. He stated that they could look at the conditions and that most of them had been fulfilled regarding the State Fire Marshal, the lights, and having the license from CYFD for all other requirements to operate a legal childcare center. He explained about their staff change and other updates, including parking and landscaping, to their conditions and plans. He stated that other than that, they nor the DRT had found any issues with the development so he would request the Planning Commission to approve the case and give them five years of the Special Use Permit. He stated that typically they would give five years, but that the previous Commission had given one year to fulfill those requirements. He stood for questions.

Commissioner Joe Salazar commented on the well put-together presentation. He pointed out that there were issues and things happening in schools such as people going in and killing children, and that one thing he did not see addressed was the safety of the building, staff training, and how to deal with an issue.

Vice Chair Ortiz stated that this was a time for questions for Staff, but that they would have a time to question the applicant.

Commissioner Salazar asked if it had been addressed at the DRT Review.

Director Hussain replied that it had not because they could not design a physical development for the security and safety, but that he assumed it would be appropriated and advised by the agency that they would get a license to operate a childcare center such as CYFD.

Commissioner Salazar stated that they did have a document from Homeland Security which addressed fire, but not that issue.

They continued to discuss the issue as well as what was presented in the packet.

Vice Chair Ortiz and Director Hussain discussed the access and updates from NMDOT.

Dr. Francisco Romero, who lived next door, asked about some of the requirements that had been previously made had been fulfilled in a very, very basic sense. He stated that they welcomed the daycare to their community, however their biggest concern was water, where it was going to come from and why the City was giving away their water. He stated that they all had rural, residential wells that they had dug at their own expense and that they were being depleted and that there were changes in the quality of sedimentation as well as the need for digging deeper and redigging the wells. He

commented on this situation as his parents, who lived next door, had already run out of water and had to redig their well. He stated that there had been no action in the regard to address those very fundamental issues. He continued to comment on the water situation.

Director Hussain replied that this had been discussed with the Development Review Team which included the Water, the Wastewater, Fire Department, Streets Department, and Utilities Department as a whole, and which included the NMDOT Region 5 Engineers to address the issues and analyze the case. He pointed out the Code which discussed the connection of water to the water-based water infrastructure, and thought they were connected to that. He stated that they were connecting and that most of the kids that would be there were residents of the City and that they would be serving the kids of the community.

Dr. Romero stated that he had not come to debate or issue a claim of negligence on the part of anyone, but that they had been neglected and that there was no plan that he knew of to put it in place. He stated that there was a lot of talk but no action. He continued to express his concern regarding the situation.

Vice Chair Ortiz replied that they heard his concerns and stated that water use was a global topic. She stated that the Commission had decided to have work session meetings where they would delve into bigger general topics, but that at this particular meeting they could bring it back to the use of that particular item. She thanked him for his comments and stated that she would talk with Staff to get water as one of the topics of their work sessions.

Dr. Romero stated that they had received a letter with the wrong date and many of them were not on top of it so they did not know there was a meeting that night.

Director Hussain replied that they had sent out a letter with the wrong date, but that the next day they had sent another letter to comply with that which was still in the timeline.

Ms. Marcie Davis, co-owner and director of Creative Kids Childcare Center, asked everyone who had come in support to raise their hands. She gave a brief history of their operation, and stated that they were licensed by the State of New Mexico and were a five-star nationally accredited child care center. She explained their relocation and the circumstances regarding this reappearance before the Planning Commission. She stated that the process of receiving the Special Use Permit from the City of Española had been arduous to say the least. She explained the process and what they had done to renew their permit. She commented on the issues they had overcome while trying to comply with the condition, and stated that they were excited to be in their own facility to be able to continue the work they had been doing. She stood for questions.

Commissioner J.D. Martinez stated that he welcomed anything that would benefit the City, and stated that in talking to the young lady, he expressed his main concern was the safety of the children. He stated that he had noticed they did not have handicapped parking for a van and discussed the parking situation.

Ms. Davis addressed the parking, and stated that the ramp to the door was not their handicapped parking which was why it was painted white, not blue. She pointed out their handicapped spaces.

There was further discussion regarding the parking.

Commissioner Martinez pointed out the fencing around the playground, and potential danger to the children there.

Ms. Davis replied that they had chosen that fencing specifically for safety. She stated that they had mimicked Darrin's Place because it had worked.

Commissioner Martinez asked about the playground being sand, and if the children went out with their shoes on and would they shake them off when coming in.

Ms. Davis replied that they would wear their shoes and that they did not allow them to be barefoot. She stated that sand was an approved medium for the structures, and that they had a foot of sand out

there so some sand would get into the center from time-to-time.

Commissioner Martinez asked about the safety of the children and staff.

Ms. Davis replied that as a teacher, she recognized that was everyone's greatest fear. She stated that they did not have any specific regulations regarding shooter safety, and discussed entry and exit access as well as shelter in place drills and evacuation practices.

Commissioner Chavez commended their services and asked about the playground.

Ms. Davis described what they had in the playground.

Commissioner Guinn commended her for what she was doing and commented that they could perhaps form an alliance with the school close to them as all schools currently had security guards.

Commissioner Salazar discussed the concern of play equipment under the electrical lines.

Ms. Davis replied about the open electrical lines, transformer, and easements for Jemez.

Mr. Jerome Romero, neighbor, brought up the dangerous area there as far as traffic and speeding. He asked if there would be any speed limit zones or school crossing or speed implementation which would be a City issue.

There was discussion and reply that Director Hussain had addressed it earlier when he spoke about the NMDOT situation and that it was under review.

Mr. Romero stated that another question was about the ditch that was located adjacent to the location.

Vice Chair Ortiz asked Ms. Davis to speak regarding the specific concerns that had been addressed by the agencies that had gone to look at her location for children.

Ms. Davis replied that it was a suggestion for them to have a fence with no slats, or chain-linked, or anything climbable. She stated that fencing and sand had been placed so that no one would be tunneling under it as well because the acequia did run nearby.

They further discussed the fencing and acequias as well as the safety measures.

Mr. Justin Salazar-Torrez, current City of Española Councilor, stated that he was there as a dad. He stated that in listening to the meeting, he heard the children and babies in the audience, which to him, was a beautiful sound. He stated that he was there in favor of the five-year approval, though he wished it was indefinitely. He stated that as a dad and for those individuals with concerns, his children attended near the Delta, which was a busy intersection as well. He spoke about the safety and protection of the children. He offered some personal anecdotes. He stated that he heard the concerns and logistics of it, and stated that they as a Commission needed to be willing to stand before each of the children's guardians, if they did not approve it. He stated that they should be willing to give the center what they needed to function, especially under all the other scrutiny that they had through CYFD, the State, and everything else versus fencing or painting of a road or parking spots. He stated that they all needed to know the consequences that would arise. He spoke about what his children had learned through daycare, and stated that he needed them to understand and realize that they would need the resources and would need to be able to take care of the children while their parents went to work. He stated that he needed them to be willing to step up to the plate to become babysitters and teachers or educators. He stated that this was not approving of a strip club or a bar. He stated that he heard the concerns about water, et cetera, and that he got it, but that this was a center for kids. He stated that if it was not approved, it would be crazy and he would be totally dumbfounded. He stated that from a City perspective, if this was not approved, he would have to take his kids outside the City limits.

Mr. Galvan stated that he lived about a mile from the center, and that even if it was not Creative Kids, but a highly qualified program, he would be there talking also. He spoke about the highly qualified individuals, and offered statistics and a brief history of daycare centers. He also spoke about funding

for daycares in New Mexico, and his experience as a therapy worker for children.

Ms. Vanessa Godinez, born and raised in Española Valley, stated that she was in favor of this and that, as Justin had mentioned, if she did not have childcare there, she would have to take her child to Los Alamos. She applauded and appreciated the safety concerns that had been brought up because as a parent, they were extremely valid and important, but that any parent who had been through the program knew that was not an issue. She acknowledged the issues that had been brought up, and stated that even though it was out of the way, they did not have as many walkers out that way. She sympathized regarding the water table and things like that, but pointed out that the daycare could run out of water as well. She stated that she did not want it to get to the point where the constituents had an issue with the business sector that provided services to the community, and that they did not want to fight against them. She stated that they wanted to champion water issues because it was a true issue, but that they were there to see if they could provide the service that they as parents and community members needed for their children. She stated that it weighed on the shoulders of the Commission that night to make the decision, but pointed out that they would be heavily impacted as a local business would go out of business and local parents would take their time and kids elsewhere. She stated that it was much more important to keep their kids than ever.

Ms. Debra Martinez reiterated what Mr. Galvan, Mr. Salazar-Torrez, and Ms. Godinez had said about being in favor, and that she thought Ms. Davis had done what was requested from the City Planning and Zoning Commission the previous year. She pointed out that they were accredited nationally and that she had done more than most daycares were required to do. She stated that Ms. Davis had a very good reputation with the Public, community, and parents. She stated that the parents had to work and children needed a place to go. She also acknowledged the additional safety concerns, and stated that she had met the requirements from Planning and Zoning as well as all the other entities. She emphasized that to deny this request would not make sense. She stated that the water issue was a separate issue and not a concern for Ms. Davis or Creative Kids, though it might impact them down the road, it was not something they could address that night. She stated that they needed to reassure the parents that they would have a daycare and if they were to approve it that night, it would put a lot of people's minds to rest.

Ms. Amelia Davis-Martinez stated that she was there in hopes of an approval for the daycare. She stated that she had been a student at the daycare for five years, and that she felt very safe even at the old Delta daycare. She spoke about how she had been helped there and what she had learned. She spoke about the issues, but stated that it was really important to have a daycare where they could feel safe and could learn and be themselves. She felt that the new location was even more safe than it was before because she felt secure walking in there which was an important quality of a daycare.

Mr. Jerome Romero stated that they were not against the daycare and that they supported them, especially in seeing them out there working. He stated that they just wanted to see what the City of Española could do for her.

Another gentleman mentioned that they were just asking the Governor for financial assistance to help those who really needed it in the Valley. He stated that they could get some of the \$10,000,000 to help fix some things.

Ms. Sonia Toledo, neighbor to the daycare, stated that she had been blessed and her neighbors had been wonderful. She stated that Ms. Davis was a great asset to the community. She also asked for guidance regarding the water situation.

For closing comments, Ms. Marcie Davis thanked the ones who had come out to make the super long meeting. She mentioned to her neighbors that water was a concern and that if they could hook up to City Utilities, that was what it should be so they would be receiving and paying those taxes that were in the City limits.

**Closed:**

Vice Chair Ortiz entertained a motion.

Commissioner Chavez motioned to approve.

Commissioner Joe Salazar seconded. He asked what status the daycare was with CYFD.

Ms. Marcie Davis replied that they were at gold standard, five-star and that they also had a Pre-K and Early Pre-K program.

Vice Chair Ortiz asked Staff to take a Roll Call vote.

Motion to approve Special Use Permit 2022-12 for Marcie Davis of Creative Kids as presented by Staff for the five-year recommendation

Motion: Commissioner Ross Chavez      Second: Commissioner Joe Salazar  
Passed: 5-0

Adriana Ortiz - *in favor*  
Ross Chavez - *in favor*  
J.D. Martinez - *in favor*  
Joe Salazar - *in favor*  
Charlene Guinn - *in favor*

They took a recess until 7:34pm.

## **VIII. McCURDY MINISTRIES MASTER PLAN PRESENTATION**

**(1:52 - 2:45)**

Vice Chair Ortiz stated that, for clarification purposes, this was strictly a presentation and there would be no formal action by the Commission that night.

Ms. Patricia Alvarado, Executive Director at McCurdy Ministries Community Center, stated that they still had their corporate name of McCurdy Schools of New Mexico, and that they were getting ready to celebrate 110 years in the Valley. She stated that after many transitions and additions, they had come before the City in 2017 with a master plan and that they were there that night to share information on an amendment to that master plan. She stated that they had considered all of the recommendations that had been made but in reaching out to others, they wanted to present the work that they were going to continue. She thanked them and stated that they wanted to present a background and bring them up to speed as to what they wanted to propose. She introduced Reverend Diana Loomis, who had been their Development Director and currently was in the position of Public/Private Partnership.

Reverend Diana Loomis, Director for Public/Private Partnership for McCurdy Ministries, presented a history of the accomplishments as well as financial gifts of McCurdy Ministries. She spoke about the facilities, and she began to explain that they had a three-phase plan for the center section of campus with several buildings coming including housing for all of their programs and that would meet their expansion needs. She stated that they had thought long and hard about what God was calling them to do to impact the community. She also spoke about economic situations and housing in the community. She stated that Homewise, the largest nonprofit home builders in Santa Fe, would be partnering with them. She stated that they would be creating workforce housing that would be for sale, and explained the affordable housing they intended to build. She also explained other partnerships and financial support that would benefit the community. She introduced Sanjay Engineering.

Mr. Sanjay thanked them for the opportunity to present and echoed what Ms. Loomis had mentioned about the history and vision of McCurdy Ministries. He stated that they were assisting in the masterplan and spoke about the vision of staying true to the character of the community. He gave a

detailed synopsis of the handout of the master plan the Commission had received and that was on the screen. He stated that as he ended his presentation, he would let Jaime add a few things in regards to the housing component.

Ms. Jaime Jaramillo, Real Estate Development Planning Manager for Homewise, also thanked them for their time and echoed Sanjay's sentiments. She explained the mission of Homewise which was to create successful homeowners and to strengthen neighborhoods so individuals and families could improve their long-term financial well-being and quality of life. She explained what they provided to New Mexicans. She stated that they had a vision for the residential community and had been working on a subdivision design for the north end of the McCurdy campus that she had worked on with Staff. She stated that their goal for the residential community was to include providing affordable housing in Espanola, plan for a mix of housing types and sizes, designing for a convenient access to recreation and open space, and meeting the needs of both Homewise and the McCurdy Ministries redevelopment efforts. She described in detail the subdivision design, and stated that they did not have sale prices at that early stage in the process but that Homewise always attempted to make at least 40% of the units in their communities affordable. She explained their plan with the Master Plan Amendment was to get a variance to the lot dimension standards to the typical City Code as well as to make a commitment to the schematic level of design of the subdivision. With that conclusion, she stood for questions.

Vice Chair Ortiz thanked them for the presentations and clarified with Staff that they were okay to entertain questions though no action was being considered at that time.

Commissioner Chavez asked what she meant by 40% being affordable in the Espanola Valley.

Ms. Jaramillo replied that the way they defined affordable was under 120% area median income which would change based on the year.

Commissioner Chavez asked how many homes they anticipated building.

Ms. Jaramillo replied that what they had tried to do with the subdivision design was to take some creative liberties and intensify the size and density of the homes so in their current design, they had 70 homes proposed. She stated that it would include townhomes that did not currently meet the City Code.

Ms. Diana Loomis wanted to make clear that while they were in the City, they were not on City Water which was a requirement of the early approval of the Master Plan. She stated that the land that Homewise was developing was unimproved land. She explained that they were coming up with more grants and funds to lower the price of the housing.

Vice Chair Ortiz asked about the timeline and expressed how it had been neat to see the details of the packet. She asked about the timeline for when they would start to see some of it.

Ms. Loomis replied that the Board was looking at going back to the Planning Commission in September for approval in early fall.

Mr. Sanjay replied that their goal was to go back as soon as possible. He explained that they debated on submitting both the housing and central part of the development. He stated that they had worked with the City Public Works Department and engineers to coordinate all of the infrastructure work which was also in their packages. He explained the drawings in the initial design and concepts phase had already been developed and reviewed by and approved by Public Works. He explained that they were trying to ensure that the project would be supported by adequate capacity and infrastructure that currently existed with the City.

Ms. Loomis replied that in terms of timeline, that fall, the application would go to USDA for financing. She explained that 55% of it would be a grant and that the remainder could be a 40-year no interest, amortized loan. She explained their estimates, and stated that she would be having discussions with the New Mexico Environment Department which had set aside funding to help with demolition and renovation. She continued to share the funding aspect of the project.

Ms. Jaramillo stated that they intended to submit with FBT for the Master Plan Amendment.

Vice Chair Ortiz stated that as of then, more detailed questions could be deferred to Staff.

Commissioner Salazar stated that they had mentioned Senator Ben Ray Lujan was assisting and asked if they had approached Representative Teresa Leger Fernandez about participating.

Ms. Loomis stated that when they put in an application, it would go to all offices, but that she would reach out to her.

Ms. Susan Baker Roybal asked if the packet that was being presented that day was available to the Public at a website or somewhere.

Staff replied to contact them and they could give it to them.

Ms. Baker Roybal mentioned the affordable housing being considered 120% of area median income which they did not have, but asked what data source that was derived from.

Ms. Jaramillo replied that the area median income was derived from census data and was based on a County level. She stated that this area was located in Santa Fe County and would be based on Santa Fe County's median income.

Mr. Marc Hudson asked about the impact on the surrounding neighborhoods or the impact on the existing infrastructure.

Vice Chair Ortiz stated that those, more detailed questions and engagement would be for a formal Public Hearing. She thanked them for their presentation and looked forward to their return.

## **IX. OLD BUSINESS**

### 1. June 23, 2022 Meeting Approval of Final Decisions

**([2:45 - 2:51])**

Vice Chair Ortiz stated that she would go one-by-one for Approval of Final Decisions though, unfortunately, since she had not been in attendance on the 23rd and had not seen the Minutes, she did not feel like she could vote so she would abstain.

#### i. Case 2022-10

Motion to approve Case 2022-10 Final Decision

Motion: Commissioner Charlene Guinn    Second: Commissioner Joe Salazar  
Passed: 4-0-1 (abstain)

Adriana Ortiz - *abstain*  
Ross Chavez - *in favor*  
J.D. Martinez - *in favor*  
Joe Salazar - *in favor*  
Charlene Guinn - *in favor*

#### ii. Case 2022-11

Motion to approve Case 2022-11 Final Decision

Motion: Commissioner Ross Chavez    Second: Commissioner Charlene Guinn  
Passed: 4-0-1 (abstain)



Adriana Ortiz - *abstain*  
Ross Chavez - *in favor*  
J.D. Martinez - *in favor*  
Joe Salazar - *in favor*  
Charlene Guinn - *in favor*

iii. Case 2022-13

Commissioner Joe Salazar asked about a sewage line that had been done inappropriately and asked if it had been investigated.

Director Hussain replied that they had discussed it with them and checked and that it was illegal. He stated that they had to handle it in a civil manner and could not interfere.

Motion to approve Case 2022-13 Final Decision

Motion: Commissioner Charlene Guinn    Second: Commissioner Ross Chavez  
Passed: 4-0-1 (abstain)

Adriana Ortiz - *abstain*  
Ross Chavez - *in favor*  
J.D. Martinez - *in favor*  
Joe Salazar - *in favor*  
Charlene Guinn - *in favor*

iv. Case 2022-14

Motion to approve Case 2022-14

Motion: Commissioner Charlene Guinn    Second: Commissioner Joe Martinez  
Passed: 4-0-1 (abstain)

Adriana Ortiz - *abstain*  
Ross Chavez - *in favor*  
J.D. Martinez - *in favor*  
Joe Salazar - *in favor*  
Charlene Guinn - *in favor*

**X. MATTERS FROM STAFF**

**([2:51 - 3:07])**

Director Hussain stated that there were two things they needed to discuss. He stated that there had been a Public Comment in May about a property on a resident at Barbie Street by Mr. Valdez. He stated that they had checked on the property and called both residents separately to enforce the matter and that Code Enforcement had been there a few times. He explained what they had done as there had been no survey, and that there was a 20-foot clearance so that matter was resolved. He mentioned that the house that was there did not meet their Code standard, but because it was there for 50 or 60 years, it was grandfathered in so they could not do anything about that. He stated that the second thing was that they had been working on a minor subdivision Ordinance. He stated that they were then classifying the subdivision of the land within the City into two groups: a major subdivision and a minor subdivision. He stated that they had drafted that initial Subdivision Ordinance and that it would be coming to them in their discussion workshop.

Commissioner Guinn asked what the difference was between minor and major.

Director Hussain replied that a minor subdivision would be up to five lots, and a major subdivision would be five and over. He stated that they would have different standards and different requirements. He stated that the whole purpose was that sometimes they would see that there were vacant areas, and that bigger developers would not come to develop the lots because there was not a return of investment and that they wanted to boost development within the City.

Commissioner Guinn pointed out that townhomes were not a part of the City Ordinance so they were having to change some of their views about that, and asked if he could explain that.

Director Hussain explained that the smallest lot size in the City was R-6 Urban Residential was 7,500-square feet, and that she had mentioned 1,000-square feet and 1,500-square feet so it was not allowed within the City limits.

Commissioner Guinn asked if the Subdivision Ordinance would have the ability to change that.

Director Hussain replied no, they were just discussing the subdivision of land that came under Zoning.

Commissioner Chavez asked about Case 2022-11 in regard to the illegal sewer line, and asked that if it was illegal, why would the City not get involved.

Director Hussain replied that the City could get involved in it, but that the parcel was undevelopable because of its location and how it was. He stated that if it was removed they would have to go back to get it rezoned because it was commercial property and they had been misguided.

Commissioner Chavez again asked as far as the illegal line.

Director Hussain replied that it should be removed and that they had to look to see if it would be safer for the neighborhood. He stated that it would have to be very carefully done. He stated that it would be in the best favor of the community to not get off that lot.

Commissioner Chavez asked if there were any fines for an individual who did something like that, and asked if they had talked to that individual.

Director Hussain replied yes, and that they had not had conversation with that individual yet as they were working with the Wastewater Department to gather information on it so before they went to them, they would have their homework done.

Vice Chair Ortiz asked if they could bring an update on it in the future.

Director Hussain stated that if there was anything they needed to let them know, but that they were working on some major discussions.

Commissioner Chavez asked about the individuals that were there that day that had been very concerned about the water lines passing through. He asked if there was any way that the City Council could follow up on that. He stated that they had been concerned for many years, and asked if there was anything truly being done.

Director Hussain replied that the City had been working on it and that he had gotten a request from the Department to work on some infrastructure plans, though he could not speak on behalf of the Public Works Department. He stated that they could go to the City Council and that later they would be happy to assist them.

There was further discussion regarding infrastructure and funding as well as Code enforcement. They also discussed homelessness solutions.

## **XI. MATTERS FROM THE COMMISSION**

**([3:07 - 3:11])**

Vice Chair Ortiz stated that they had kind of already delved into Matters from the Commission.

Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ asked if they had a work session coming up.

Vice Chair Ortiz replied the next session would be the 28th.

Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ asked what they were going to be planning to address.

Director Hussain replied that it would be the Subdivision Ordinance, and that they would not be looking at major subdivisions, but would be introducing that whole new subdivision subject.

Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ stated that he should be addressing issues in those documents.

Commissioner Chavez asked what issues.

Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ stated that one of his biggest concerns was the DRT reviews as they really bothered him. He stated that they needed to address it.

Director Hussain reflected on the DRT reviews.

Commissioner Guinn talked about what she would like to see from the Fire Department.

The Commissioners expressed that they would like more in depth information.

Director Hussain replied about the things that were most important, and that they were following the State and Federal standards.

## **XII. ADJOURNMENT**

Time: 8:53pm

The Meeting will be livestreamed via the City of Española's YouTube page:

<https://>

In order to participate in the meeting, offer comments, or ask questions, we are also hosting the meeting online through Zoom. For more information or to speak, please contact the Planning & Land Use Office at (505)747-6103.

Zoom link: <https://>